

# On 2-partitionable clutters and the MFMC property

Alejandro Flores-Méndez,<sup>1</sup> Isidoro Gitler<sup>2</sup> and Enrique Reyes<sup>3</sup>

Departamento de Matemáticas  
Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN  
Apartado Postal 14-740  
07000 México City, D.F.  
e-mail: {aflores, igitler, ereyes}@math.cinvestav.mx

## Abstract

We introduce 2-partitionable clutters as the simplest case of the class of  $k$ -partitionable clutters and study some of their combinatorial properties. In particular, we study properties of the rank of the incidence matrix of these clutters and properties of their minors.

A well known conjecture of Conforti and Cornuéjols [1, 2] states: That all the clutters with the packing property have the max-flow min-cut property, i.e. are mengerian. Among the general classes of clutters known to verify the conjecture are: balanced clutters (Fulkerson, Hoffman and Oppenheim [5]), binary clutters (Seymour [11]) and dyadic clutters (Cornuéjols, Guenin and Margot [3]). We find a new infinite family of 2-partitionable clutters, that verifies the conjecture.

On the other hand we are interested in studying the normality of the Rees algebra associated to a clutter and possible relations with the Conforti and Cornuéjols conjecture. In fact this conjecture is equivalent to an algebraic statement about the normality of the Rees algebra [6].

## 1 Introduction

We briefly describe the main results in this paper. Theorem 5.2 characterizes when an ideal clutter is mengerian in terms of the existence of an edge  $e$  of  $H$  for which  $\tau^\omega(H) = \tau^{\omega-e}(H) + 1$ , for all  $\tau^\omega(H) > 0$ . Hence the Conforti-Cornuéjols conjecture

---

<sup>0</sup>2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05C75; Secondary 05C85, 05C20, 13H10.

<sup>0</sup>*Key words and phrases*. max-flow min-cut, mengerian, clutters, hypergraphs, normality, Rees algebras

<sup>1</sup>LIDETEA Universidad La Salle

<sup>2</sup>This work was partially supported by CONACyT grants 49251-F, 49835-F, and SNI.

<sup>3</sup>Partially supported by COFAA-IPN.

reduces to proving that for every hypergraph  $H$  with the packing property if  $\tau^\omega(H) > 0$ , for some  $\omega$ , then there exists  $e \in E(H)$ , for which  $\tau^\omega(H) = \tau^{\omega-e}(H) + 1$ .

After introducing and showing that the family of hypergraphs  $Q_{pq}^F$  has the packing property, we use Theorem 5.2 to prove that it is also mengerian. We give an explicit algorithm in pseudo code to obtain inductively the edge  $e$  required in Theorem 5.2. This algorithm can be generalized to prove that other ideal hypergraphs are mengerian.

We introduce 2-partitionable clutters. We then prove Propositions 3.1 and 3.3 that give information on the rank of the incidence matrix  $A$  of the clutter  $H$  and the minors of  $H$  (when  $H$  is 2-partitionable). We propose the Conforti and Cornuejos conjecture for 2-partitionable hypergraphs since we believe that in the class of  $k$ -partitionable hypergraphs a counterexample can in principle be possible.

In proposition 4.3 we prove the following conjecture for the case of the hypergraphs  $Q_{pq}^F$ , thus giving support to this conjecture.

Conjecture 2.14: If  $\tau(\mathcal{C}') = \nu(\mathcal{C}')$  for all minors  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q}$  have degree  $d \geq 2$ , then the group  $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/((v_1, 1), \dots, (v_q, 1))$  is free, or equivalently  $\Delta_r(B) = 1$  where  $r = \text{rank}(B)$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

We now give several definitions that help to clarify the algebraic translations of the combinatorial optimization problems studied in this paper.

A *hypergraph*  $H$  is defined by a pair  $(V, E)$  where  $V$  represents a finite set called the vertices of  $H$ , and  $E$  represents a collection of subsets from  $V$  called the edges of  $H$ . In some cases, the notation  $V(H), E(H)$  will be used to refer to the vertices and the edges of  $H$ , respectively.

A *clutter*  $\mathcal{C}$  is a particular type of hypergraph, with the property that  $S_1 \not\subseteq S_2$  for all distinct  $S_1, S_2 \in E(\mathcal{C})$ .

For every hypergraph  $H = (V, E)$  there is an *associated clutter*  $H^{\min}$ , defined by:

$$H^{\min} = \{e \in E : e \not\supseteq f \in E\}$$

The contraction  $H/i$  and deletion  $H \setminus i$  are hypergraphs with vertex set  $V(H) \setminus \{i\}$  where:  $E(H/i) = \{S \setminus \{i\} : S \in E(H)\}$  (for clutters we take the set of inclusionwise minimal members of this set) and  $E(H \setminus i) = \{S \in E(H) : i \notin S\}$ . Contractions and deletions of distinct vertices can be performed sequentially and the result does not depend on the order. An hypergraph obtained from  $H$  by a sequence of deletions  $I_d$  and contractions  $I_c$  ( $I_d \cap I_c = \emptyset$ ) is called a minor of  $H$  and is denoted by  $H \setminus I_d / I_c$ . If  $I_d \neq \emptyset$  or  $I_c \neq \emptyset$ , the minor is proper. For general properties of hypergraphs, clutters and their blockers we refer the reader to [10].

Let  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field  $K$  and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  of height  $g \geq 2$ , minimally generated by a finite set  $F = \{x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q}\}$  of square-free

monomials of degree at least two. Where a monomial  $f$  in  $R$  is called *square-free* if  $f = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r}$  for some  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n$ . For technical reasons we shall assume that each variable  $x_i$  occurs in at least one monomial of  $F$ .

There is a natural one to one correspondence between the family of square-free monomial ideals and the family of clutters:

We associate to the ideal  $I$  a *clutter*  $\mathcal{C}$  by taking the set of indeterminates  $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  as vertex set and  $E = \{S_1, \dots, S_q\}$  as edge set, where

$$S_k = \{x_i \mid \langle e_i, v_k \rangle = 1, e_i \text{ denotes the } i\text{'th unit vector}\} = \text{supp}(x^{v_k}),$$

and the *support* of a monomial  $x^a = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$  in  $R$  is given by  $\text{supp}(x^a) = \{x_i \mid a_i > 0\}$ . The ideal  $I$  is called the *edge ideal* of  $\mathcal{C}$ . To stress the relationship between  $I$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  we will use the notation  $I = I(\mathcal{C})$ . The  $\{0, 1\}$ -vector  $v_k$  is called the *characteristic vector* of  $S_k$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{1}$  the vector whose entries are all ones.

Let  $A$  be the *incidence matrix* whose column vectors are  $v_1, \dots, v_q$ . The *set covering polyhedron* associated with  $A$  is defined as:

$$Q(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \geq 0; xA \geq \mathbf{1}\}.$$

We say that a hypergraph (clutter) is *ideal* if the polyhedron  $Q(A)$  is integral.

A set  $C \subseteq V$  is a *vertex cover* or *transversal* of the hypergraph  $H$  if every edge of  $H$  contains at least one vertex in  $C$ . We call  $C$  a *minimal vertex cover* or *minimal transversal* if  $C$  is minimal with respect to this property. A set of edges of the hypergraph  $H$  is called *independent* or a *matching* if no two of them have a vertex in common.

Let  $B(H)$  be the collection of all the transversals of  $H$ , then the *blocker* of  $H$ ,  $b(H)$ , is defined by:

$$b(H) := B(H)^{\min}$$

It is well known that the following dual relationship holds for the blocker.

**Theorem 2.1** *For every hypergraph  $H$ ,  $b(b(H)) = H^{\min}$ . In particular, if  $H$  is a clutter, then  $H = b(b(H))$ .*

Observe that for minors we have:  $b(H \setminus i) = (b(H)/i)^{\min}$  and  $b(H/i) = b(H) \setminus i$ .

Let us denote by  $f^c$  the complement of  $f$ . Then as an immediate consequence of the former theorem we have that.

**Corollary 2.2** *For every hypergraph  $H = (V, E)$ , and  $f \subseteq V$ , either  $f \supseteq e \in E$ , or  $f^c \supseteq t \in b(H)$ , but not both.*

**Proposition 2.3** [6] *The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mathfrak{p} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$  is a minimal prime of  $I = I(\mathcal{C})$ .
- (b)  $C = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \in b(\mathcal{C})$ .
- (c)  $\alpha = e_1 + \dots + e_r$  is a vertex of  $Q(A)$ .

## 2.1 The Conforti and Cornuéjols conjecture

**Definition 2.4** The clutter  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the *max-flow min-cut* (MFMC) property if both sides of the LP-duality equation

$$\tau^\omega = \min\{\langle \omega, x \rangle \mid x \geq 0; xA \geq \mathbf{1}\} = \max\{\langle y, \mathbf{1} \rangle \mid y \geq 0; Ay \leq \omega\} = \nu^\omega \quad (1)$$

have integral optimum solutions  $x$  and  $y$  for each non-negative integral vector  $\omega$ . Clutters that satisfy the MFMC property are called *mengerian*.

Recall that a monomial subring  $K[F] \subset R$  is *normal* if  $K[F] = \overline{K[F]}$ , where the integral closure  $\overline{K[F]}$  is given by:

$$\overline{K[F]} = K[\{x^a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}\}], \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}$  is the subgroup spanned by  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}$  is the *polyhedral cone*

$$\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q a_i v_i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ for all } i \right\}$$

generated by  $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$ . Here  $\mathbb{R}_+$  denotes the set of non negative real numbers.

**Theorem 2.5** [4, 7, 8] *The following are equivalent*

- (i)  $\mathcal{C}$  has the max-flow min-cut property.
- (ii)  $R[It]$  is normal and  $Q(A)$  is an integral polyhedron, where  $R[It]$  is the subring of  $R[t]$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x^{v_1}t, \dots, x^{v_q}t$ , over the field  $K$ .
- (iii)  $x \geq 0; xA \geq \mathbf{1}$  is totally dual integral (a TDI system).

**Proposition 2.6** [11] *If a clutter  $\mathcal{C}$  has the MFMC property, then so do all its minors.*

Let us denote by  $\tau(\mathcal{C})$  the minimum size of a vertex cover in  $\mathcal{C}$  and by  $\nu(\mathcal{C})$  the maximum size of a matching in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mathcal{C}) &\geq \min\{\langle \mathbf{1}, x \rangle \mid x \geq 0; xA \geq \mathbf{1}\} \\ &= \max\{\langle y, \mathbf{1} \rangle \mid y \geq 0; Ay \leq \mathbf{1}\} \geq \nu(\mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.7** *A clutter  $\mathcal{C}$  has the König property or packs if  $\tau(\mathcal{C}) = \nu(\mathcal{C})$ .*

The simplest example of a clutter with the König property is a bipartite graph.

**Definition 2.8** A clutter  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the *packing property* (PP) if all its minors satisfy the König property, that is,  $\tau(\mathcal{C}') = \nu(\mathcal{C}')$  for every minor  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 2.9** [9] *If  $\mathcal{C}$  has the packing property, then  $Q(A)$  is integral.*

It is well known that:

**Proposition 2.10** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  has the max-flow min-cut property, then  $\mathcal{C}$  has the packing property.*

**Conjecture 2.11** (Conforti-Cornuéjols [1, 2]) *If the clutter  $\mathcal{C}$  has the packing property, then  $\mathcal{C}$  has the max-flow min-cut property.*

Next we state an algebraic version of Conjecture 2.11.

**Conjecture 2.12** [6] *If  $\tau(\mathcal{C}') = \nu(\mathcal{C}')$  for all minors  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $R[It]$  is normal.*

*Notation* For an integral matrix  $B \neq (0)$ , the greatest common divisor of all the nonzero  $r \times r$  subdeterminants of  $B$  will be denoted by  $\Delta_r(B)$ .

**Theorem 2.13** [6] *If  $x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q}$  are monomials of degree  $d \geq 2$ , i.e., all the edges of the clutter have  $d$  vertices, such that  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies MFMC and the matrix*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \cdots & v_q \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

*has rank  $r$ , then  $\Delta_r(B) = 1$ .*

By using the previous result we obtain that a positive answer to Conjecture 2.12 implies the following:

**Conjecture 2.14** [6] *If  $\tau(\mathcal{C}') = \nu(\mathcal{C}')$  for all minors  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q}$  have degree  $d \geq 2$ , then the group*

$$\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/((v_1, 1), \dots, (v_q, 1))$$

*is free, or equivalently  $\Delta_r(B) = 1$  where  $r = \text{rank}(B)$*

### 3 On 2-partitionable clutters

Let  $H = (V, E)$  be a hypergraph with  $|V| = 2d$  and  $E = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ . Let

$$V = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_d$$

be a partition of  $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  into  $d$  subsets of size two. We set  $X_i = \{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$  and  $d \geq 2$ . If

$$|S_i \cap X_j| = 1 \quad \forall i, j. \quad (3)$$

we say that  $H$  is *2-partitionable*. This definition could be generalized to *k-partitionable* hypergraphs, where  $|X_j| = k \geq 2$ .

Note that for  $I = I(\mathcal{C})$  minimally generated by  $F = \{x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q}\}$  we have that equation (3) becomes:

$$|\text{supp}(x^{v_i}) \cap X_j| = 1 \quad \forall i, j. \quad (4)$$

Observation: In our situation, by the pigeon hole principle, any minimal vertex cover  $C$  of the clutter  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies  $2 \leq |C| \leq d$ . Notice that for each odd integer  $k$  the sum of rows  $k$  and  $k+1$  of the matrix  $A$  is equal to  $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$ . Thus the rank of  $A$  is bounded by  $d+1$ .

The next result shows that  $A$  has “maximal rank” if  $\mathcal{C}$  has a cover of maximum possible size.

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a 2-partitionable clutter. If there exists a minimal vertex cover  $C$  such that  $|C| = d \geq 3$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the König property, then  $\text{rank}(A) = d+1$ .*

**Proof.** First notice that  $C$  contains exactly one element of each  $X_j$  because  $X_j \not\subseteq C$ . Thus we may assume

$$C = \{x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2d-1}\}.$$

Consider the monomial  $x^\alpha = x_2 x_4 \dots x_{2d}$  and notice that  $x_k x^\alpha \in I$  for each  $x_k \in C$  because the monomial  $x_k x^\alpha$  is clearly in every minimal prime of  $I$ . Writing  $x_k = x_{2i-1}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$  we conclude that the monomial

$$x^{\alpha_i} = x_2 x_4 \dots x_{2(i-1)} x_{2i-1} x_{2i+1} \dots x_{2d}$$

is a minimal generator of  $I$ . Thus we may assume  $x^{\alpha_i} = x^{v_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . The vector  $\mathbf{1}$  belongs to the linear space generated by  $v_1, \dots, v_q$  because  $\mathcal{C}$  has the König property. It follows readily that the matrix with rows  $v_1, \dots, v_d, \mathbf{1}$  has rank  $d+1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is 2-partitionable then  $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B) = d+1$ , where*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \dots & v_q \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Proposition 3.3** *Let  $H$  be 2-partitionable hypergraph with  $W, Z \subseteq V(H)$  such that  $W \cap Z = \emptyset$  and  $Z \cap X_i = \emptyset$  for some  $X_i$ . If  $H' \setminus W/Z$  is a proper minor of  $H$ , then  $H'$  has the König property or  $W \cap X_i = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** If  $\emptyset \in E(H')$  or  $E(H') = \emptyset$ , then  $H'$  has the König property. We assume that  $\emptyset \notin E(H')$  and  $E(H') \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\tau(H') = 1$  then  $H'$  has the König property. Let us consider then that  $\tau(H') \geq 2$ , and  $X_i = X_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}$ . As  $Z \cap X_1 = \emptyset$  then  $\{x_1, x_2\} \in b(H/Z) = b(H) \setminus Z$ . Hence,  $|\{x_1, x_2\} \setminus W| \geq \tau(H') \geq 2$  and therefore  $W \cap X_1 = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Conjecture 3.4** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a 2-partitionable clutter. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  has the packing property if and only if it is mengerian.*

## 4 The $Q_6$ property class of hypergraphs

A hypergraph  $H$  is minimally non packing (MNP) if it does not pack, but every minor of it does. Cornuéjols, Guenin and Margot [3], give an infinite class of ideal MNP clutters, which they call the  $Q_6$  property class (before their work, only two MNP clutters were known).

A clutter has the  $Q_6$  property, when  $V(\mathcal{C})$  can be partitioned into nonempty sets  $I_1, \dots, I_6$  such that there are edges  $S_1, \dots, S_4$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  of the form:

$$S_1 = I_1 \cup I_3 \cup I_5, \quad S_2 = I_1 \cup I_4 \cup I_6, \quad S_3 = I_2 \cup I_4 \cup I_5, \quad S_4 = I_2 \cup I_3 \cup I_6.$$

The Cornuéjols, Guenin and Margot MNP family of  $Q_6$ -property clutters is described as follows. Given  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , we construct the incidence matrix of the clutter  $Q_{pq}$  by partitioning the set  $V(Q_{pq})$  in 6 blocks which we will call  $P, P^*, Q, Q^*, r, r^*$ , with elements  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_p\}$ ,  $P^* = \{p_1^*, \dots, p_p^*\}$ ,  $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_q\}$ ,  $Q^* = \{q_1^*, \dots, q_q^*\}$ . Furthermore, denote by  $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{B})$  the set of 0,1 matrices and let  $H_p \in M_{((2^p-1) \times p)}(\mathbb{B})$  be a matrix whose rows represent the characteristic vectors of the non empty subsets of a set with  $p$  elements. Let  $H_p^*$  be its complement, i.e.  $H_p + H_p^* = J$ , where  $J$  denotes the matrix whose entries are all one. Then the transpose  $A^t$  of the incidence matrix  $A$  of the clutter  $Q_{pq}$  is given by:

$$A^t(Q_{pq}) = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \dots p_p & p_1^* \dots p_p^* & q_1 \dots q_q & q_1^* \dots q_q^* & r & r^* \\ H_p & H_p^* & J & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ H_p^* & H_p & \mathbf{0} & J & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ J & \mathbf{0} & H_q^* & H_q & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & J & H_q & H_q^* & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

The hypergraph  $Q_6$  giving name to the class, corresponds to  $Q_{1,1}$ .

As an example of an hypergraph in this class, we show the incidence matrix of  $Q_{2,1}$ :

$$A^t(Q_{2,1}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### 4.1 The family $Q_{pq}^F$ of 2-partitionable hypergraphs

For homogeneity reasons, from here on we will assume that  $p, q > 1$ . From the construction of  $Q_{pq}$ , it follows that every pair of vertices of the form  $vv^*$  with  $v \in PQR$  is contained in  $b(Q_{pq})$  ( $XYz$  will be used as a shorthand for the union of sets  $X \cup Y \cup \{z\}$ ). Moreover, it is not hard to show that the elements of  $b(Q_{pq})$  correspond to one of the following types:

$$vv^*, \text{ where } v \in PQR \quad (5a)$$

$$p_i p_j^* q_k q_l^*, \text{ where } i \neq j, k \neq l \quad (5b)$$

$$p_i p_j^* r, \text{ where } i \neq j \quad (5c)$$

$$q_i q_j^* r^*, \text{ where } i \neq j \quad (5d)$$

$$P q_i^* r^* \quad (5e)$$

$$P^* q_i r^* \quad (5f)$$

$$p_i Qr \quad (5g)$$

$$p_i^* Q^* r \quad (5h)$$

For simplicity, we will denote by  $V_{pq}, E_{pq}$  the set of vertices  $V(Q_{pq})$  and the set of edges  $E(Q_{pq})$ , respectively. If  $A \in \{P, Q\}$  and  $A_1 \subseteq A$  we define  $A_1^c = A \setminus A_1$ . We will also use the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{pq} &:= \{PQR, P^*Q^*r, PQ^*r^*, P^*Qr^*\} \\ Q_{pq}^F &:= (V_{pq}, E_{pq} \cup F), \text{ where } F \subseteq E_{pq}^* \\ F^* &:= \{f^* : f \in F\} \text{ note that } f = (f^*)^* \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that by construction the hypergraphs  $Q_{pq}^F$  are 2-partitionable. We next show how for some suitable sets  $F$ , the hypergraphs  $Q_{pq}^F$  are packing. To do so, we start with the following Lemma.



**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $t$  be an element of the blocker  $b(Q_{pq}^F)$ .*

- I. *If  $F \subseteq (F_{pq})^*$  then  $t$  is of the form (5.a-d) or  $t$  is of type (5.e-h) whenever  $t \not\subseteq f^*$ ,  $f \in F$ .*
- II. *If  $F = (E_{pq} \setminus F')^*$  where  $F' \subseteq F_{pq}$ ,  $F' \neq \emptyset$  then  $t$  is of the form (5.a,b) or  $t \in F_{pq} \setminus F'$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $E(Q_{pq}^F) \supseteq E_{pq}$ , we have that for every  $t' \in b(Q_{pq}^F) \exists t \in b(Q_{pq})$  such that  $t' = t \cup x'$ . Let  $t'$  be an element in  $b(Q_{pq}^F)$ .

Case I. If  $t \in b(Q_{pq})$  of type (5.a-d) then  $t \in b(Q_{pq}^F)$  and  $t' = t$ . Now, for  $t = Abc$  a minimal transversal of type (5.e-h), if  $f = A^*B^*c^* \in F$ , as  $t' \cap f \neq \emptyset$  and  $t \cap f = \emptyset$  then  $x' \cap f = \emptyset$ . If  $x' \cap A^*c^* \neq \emptyset$  then  $t'$  contains  $t \in b(Q_{pq})$  of the form (5.a). Thus  $x' \subseteq B^*$ , but this implies that  $t'$  contains a  $t \in b(Q_{pq})$  of the form (5.a,c or d). Therefore  $f \notin F$ , and  $t \in b(Q_{pq}^F)$  implying  $t' = t$ .

Case II. Notice that for any  $t \in b(Q_{pq})$  of types (5.a,b) we have that  $t \in b(Q_{pq}^F)$ . Let  $t = a_i a_j^* c$  be a minimal transversal of types (5.c,d), and choose  $A_1 \subset A$  such that  $a_i \in A_1, a_j \notin A_1$ . Since,  $A_1^c A_1^* B c^*$  and  $A_1^c A_1^* B^* c^*$  are both edges of  $Q_{pq}^F$ , we have that,  $t'$  could only be a minimal transversal of  $Q_{pq}^F$  if  $a_i^*, a_j, b_k b_l^*$  or  $c^*$  are contained in  $x'$ , which implies that  $t'$  contains at least one  $t \in b(Q_{pq})$  of type (5.a,b).

Now, let  $t = Ab_i c$  be a minimal transversal of type (5.e-h). If  $\emptyset \neq x' \cap A^*B^*c^*$ , this implies that  $t'$  contains a  $t \in b(Q_{pq})$  of type (5.a), or we are in the case  $t$  is a minimal transversal of type (5.c,d). Hence  $x' \subseteq B$ , and  $f = A^*B^*c^* \notin F$ .

On the other hand, if  $B_1$  is a proper subset of  $B$  then  $A^*B_1(B_1^c)^*c^*$  is an edge of  $Q_{pq}^F$ . But this implies that  $ABc \subseteq t'$ , therefore  $t' = ABc$ , which is a minimal transversal of  $Q_{pq}^F$ .  $\square$

We construct a new class of clutters with the packing property obtained by adding some new hyperedges to the hypergraphs  $Q_{pq}$ .

**Theorem 4.2** *The hypergraphs  $Q_{pq}^F$  have the packing property for:*

- I.  $F \subseteq (F_{pq})^*$ ,  $F \neq \emptyset$ , or
- II.  $F = (E_{pq} \setminus F')^*$  with  $F' \subseteq F_{pq}$ ,  $F' \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** From the construction of  $Q_{pq}^F$  we have that  $\tau(Q_{pq}^F) = \nu(Q_{pq}^F) = 2$ . Now let  $q_{pq}^F := Q_{pq}^F \setminus W/Z$  be a proper minor of  $Q_{pq}^F$ . If  $\emptyset \in E(q_{pq}^F)$  or  $\emptyset = E(q_{pq}^F)$  then by convention it packs. Therefore, for both cases we must show that for every proper minor  $q_{pq}^F$  such that  $\emptyset \notin E(q_{pq}^F)$  and  $\emptyset \neq E(q_{pq}^F)$  the following equality holds:

$$1 \leq \tau(q_{pq}^F) = \nu(q_{pq}^F) \quad (6)$$

For the proof, we will use the fact that  $b(H \setminus v) = (b(H)/v)^{\min}$ ,  $b(H/v) = b(H) \setminus v$  and that deletion and contraction are associative and commute. We will do first the contractions, and then deletions. If  $\tau(q_{pq}^F) = 1$ , as  $\emptyset \notin E(q_{pq}^F)$  and  $\emptyset \neq E(q_{pq}^F)$  then  $q_{pq}^F$  packs. Thus we can assume  $\tau(q_{pq}^F) \geq 2$ .

We have that if  $t \in b(Q_{pq}^F)$ , then there exists  $t' \in b(Q_{pq})$  such that  $t' \subseteq t$ , therefore,  $\tau(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) \leq \tau(q_{pq}^F)$ .

If  $\tau(q_{pq}^F) = \tau(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z)$  then  $\nu(q_{pq}^F) = \tau(q_{pq}^F)$  since  $E(q_{pq}^F) \supseteq E(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z)$  and  $Q_{pq}$  packs. Hence, we must prove that  $\tau(q_{pq}^F) = \nu(q_{pq}^F)$  when  $\tau(q_{pq}^F) > \tau(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z)$ .

**[Case I]** ( $F \subseteq (F_{pq})^*$  with  $F \neq \emptyset$ ): Notice that  $\tau(q_{pq}^F) > \tau(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z)$  could happen only if  $\tau(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) = |Abc \setminus W|$  and  $Z \cap Abc = \emptyset$ , where  $Abc$  is a minimal transversal of type (5.e-h), and  $Abc \notin b(q_{pq}^F)$ . But this implies that  $A^*B^*c^* \in F$ .

**[I.1]** If  $Z \cap vv^* \neq \emptyset$  for all  $v \in PQR$ , then  $A_1(A_1^c)^*B_1(B_1^c)^*d \subseteq Z$  where  $A_1 \subseteq A$ ,  $B_1 \subseteq B$  and  $d \in rr^*$ . As  $Z \cap Abc = \emptyset$ , then  $d = c^*$ ,  $A_1 = \emptyset$  and  $b \notin B_1$ . Therefore  $Z = A^*B_2B_3^*c^*$ , where  $B_1 \subseteq B_2 \subseteq B$ ,  $(B_1^c)^* \subseteq B_3^* \subseteq B^*$  and  $b \notin B_2$ . If  $B^* = B_3^*$  then  $\emptyset \in E(q_{pq}^F)$ , but we assumed that  $\emptyset \notin E(q_{pq}^F)$ . Thus, there exists  $b_1^* \in B^*$  such that  $b_1^* \notin B_3^*$ , and consequently  $B_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

By the form of the elements of  $b(Q_{pq})$  and of  $b(Q_{pq}^F)$  we have that:  
 $b(Q_{pq}/Z) = \{b_ib_j^*c, Abc\} \setminus B_2B_3^*$ ,  $b(Q_{pq}^F/Z) = \{b_ib_j^*c\} \setminus B_2B_3^*$  and  $bb_1^*c \in b(Q_{pq}/Z) \cap b(Q_{pq}^F/Z)$ . Therefore  $|bb_2^*c \setminus W| > |Abc \setminus W|$  for all  $b_2^* \notin B_3^*$ . This is only possible if  $A \subseteq W$ , and  $b_2^* \notin W$  for all  $b_2^* \notin B_3^*$ ; i.e.  $W \cap (B_3^c)^c = \emptyset$ . Consequently,  $3 \geq \tau(q_{pq}^F) = \tau(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) + 1 \geq 1$ . Thus, either  $W = AB_4$  or  $W = AB_4c$ , where  $B_4 \subseteq B \setminus B_2$ . Since  $B_2 \neq \emptyset$  then  $B_4 \neq B$ . Even more,  $\emptyset \neq f = (B_3^c)^* = A^*B^*c \setminus A^*B_2B_3^*c^* \in E(q_{pq}^F) \setminus E(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z)$ . Now, by the form of the elements of  $E(Q_{pq})$  we have that  $E(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) = (\{V(V^c)^*c : V \subset B\} \cup B) / B_2B_3^* \setminus W'$  where  $W' = W \setminus A$  and  $E(q_{pq}^F) \supseteq E(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) \cup (B_3^c)^*$ .

**[I.1.1]** If  $c \in W'$  then  $E(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) = \{B\} / B_2 \setminus B_4$ . Now,  $(B_3^c)^*$  is independent with the edges of  $Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z$ , which implies that  $q_{pq}^F$  packs.

[I.1.2] Now if  $c \notin W'$  then  $E(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) \supseteq (\{V(V^c)^*c : V \subseteq B_4^c\})/B_2B_3^*$ ; since  $B_2 \cap B_4 = \emptyset$ , we have  $B_2(B_2^c)^*c \setminus B_2B_3^* \in E(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z)$ , but  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$ , therefore  $B_2^c \subseteq B_1^c \subseteq B_3$ . Thus  $c = B_2(B_2^c)^*c \setminus B_2B_3^* \in E(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z)$ . We have the following two remaining cases.

If  $B_4 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $E(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) = (\{V(V^c)c : V \subseteq B_4^c\})/B_2B_3^*$ , then  $\tau(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) = 1$  and  $c$  is independent with  $(B_3^c)^*$  hence,  $q_{pq}^F$  packs.

If  $B_4 = \emptyset$ ,  $B_2^c = \{B\}/B_2B_3^* \in E(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z)$  then  $B_2^c, c, (B_3^c)^*$  are independent in  $q_{pq}^F$ . As  $\tau(q_{pq}^F) \leq 3$  then  $q_{pq}^F$  packs.

[I.2] If  $Z \cap vv^* = \emptyset$  for some  $v \in PQR$  then,  $2 \geq |vv^* \setminus W| \geq \tau(q_{pq}^F) > \tau(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) = |Abc \setminus W|$  for all  $v$  such that  $Z \cap vv^* = \emptyset$ . If  $Abc \subseteq W$ , as  $Abc \in b(Q_{pq})$  then  $E(q_{pq}^F) = \{A^*B^*c^*\}/Z \setminus W$ , and  $q_{pq}^F$  packs. Assume  $Abc \not\subseteq W$ , then  $\tau(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) = 1$  and  $\tau(q_{pq}^F) = 2$ . Notice that if  $u \in W$ , then  $u^* \in Z$ ; since otherwise, knowing that  $W \cap Z = \emptyset$  then  $uu^* \cap Z = \emptyset$ . Thus  $1 \geq |uu^* \setminus W| > |Abc \setminus W| = \tau(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z)$  which is a contradiction.

[I.2.1] If  $bc \subseteq W$ , then  $b_i^* \in b(Q_{pq} \setminus W)$ . Therefore  $b_i^* \in B^* \subset Z$ . In this case  $Abc \setminus W = \{a\} \subseteq A$ , and  $c^* \in Z$ ; implying  $(A \setminus \{a\})^*B^*c^* \subseteq Z$  and  $(A \setminus \{a\})bc \subseteq W$ . Now, by construction of  $E(Q_{pq})$ , we have that  $E(q_{pq}^F) = \{a, a^*\} \setminus (W \cap Ba^*)/(Z \cap Ba)$ , but  $\tau(q_{pq}^F) = 2$ , and consequently  $q_{pq}^F$  packs.

[I.2.2] Now, if  $bc \not\subseteq W$ , then  $A \subseteq W$  and  $A^* \subseteq Z$ . Even more,  $b \in W$  or  $c \in W$ .

If  $c \in W$ , then  $c^* \in Z$ . Therefore  $Ac \subseteq W$  and  $A^*c^* \subseteq Z$ . By the form of the elements of  $E(Q_{pq})$  and of  $F$  we have that  $E(q_{pq}^F) = \{B, B^*\} \setminus (W \cap BB^*)/(Z \cap BB^*)$ , hence  $q_{pq}^F$  packs.

If  $b \in W$ , then  $Ab \subseteq W$  and  $A^*b^* \subseteq Z$ . So the edge set of  $q_{pq}^F$  is given by  $E(q_{pq}^F) = (\{V(V^c)^*c : V \subseteq B \setminus b\} \cup (B \setminus b)^*c^*) \setminus (W \cap BB^*c^*)/Z$ . Since  $E(q_{pq}^F) \neq E(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z)$  we have that  $W \cap (B \setminus b)^*c^* = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $W = AB_5$ ,  $Z \supseteq A^*B_6^*$  with  $b \in B_5 \subseteq B_6 \subseteq B$ . As  $\tau(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) = 1$ , we must have  $B_5^c c \setminus Z = (B_5^c)B_5^*c \setminus Z \in E(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z)$ . Then  $B_5^c c \setminus Z$  and  $(B \setminus b)^*c^* \setminus Z$  are independent in  $E(q_{pq}^F)$  and hence,  $q_{pq}^F$  packs.

[Case II] ( $F = (E_{pq} \setminus F')^*$  with  $F' \subseteq F_{pq}$ ,  $F' \neq \emptyset$ ):

[II.1] If  $\tau(Q_{pq}^F/Z) = 4$ , then  $vv^* \cap Z \neq \emptyset$  for all  $v \in PQR$ . Thus  $Z = P_1P_2^*Q_1Q_2^*D$ , where  $P_1 \cup P_2 = P$ ,  $Q_1 \cup Q_2 = Q$  and  $\emptyset \neq D \subseteq rr^*$ . Since  $4 = \tau(Q_{pq}^F/Z) = |p_1p_2^*q_1q_2^*|$ ,  $p_1p_2^*q_1q_2^* \cap Z = \emptyset$  then  $P_1, P_2 \neq P$  and  $Q_1, Q_2 \neq Q$ . Moreover,  $P_1, P_2 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $Q_1, Q_2 \neq \emptyset$ . By the form of  $E(Q_{pq}^F)$  we have that  $E((Q_{pq}^F/Z)^{\min}) = \{P_1^c, (P_2^*)^c, Q_1^c, (Q_2^*)^c\}$ . Therefore  $q_{pq}^F$  packs.

[II.2] If  $\tau(Q_{pq}^F/Z) = p + q + 1$ , then  $\tau(Q_{pq}^F/Z) = |ABc|$  and  $ABc \cap Z = \emptyset$ , implying  $A^*B^*c^* \notin F$ . Moreover, since  $vv^* \cap Z \neq \emptyset$  for all  $v \in PQR$ , then  $Z = A^*B^*c^*$ . By the form of  $E(Q_{pq}^F)$  we have that  $E((Q_{pq}^F/Z)^{\min}) = \{\{v\} : v \in ABc\}$ . Therefore  $q_{pq}^F$  packs.

**[II.3]** If  $\tau(Q_{pq}^F/Z) = 2$ , then  $\tau(Q_{pq}^F/Z) = |vv^*|$  for some  $v \in PQR$ ; i.e.  $vv^* \cap Z = \emptyset$ . Let us consider the case where

$$2 = \tau(q_{pq}^F) = |vv^* \setminus W| > \tau(Q_{pq} \setminus W/Z) \quad (7)$$

By Proposition 3.3 we have that  $W \cap uu^* = \emptyset$  for all  $u$  such that  $uu^* \cap Z = \emptyset$ . So, if  $u \in W$ , then  $u^* \in Z$  since otherwise  $\tau(q_{pq}^F) < 2$ , a contradiction.

For the proof, let  $W = A_1A_2^*B_1B_2^*D_1$  and  $Z = A_3A_4^*B_3B_4^*D_2$ , with  $A_1 \subseteq A_4$ ,  $A_2 \subseteq A_3$ ,  $B_1 \subseteq B_4$ ,  $B_2 \subseteq B_3$  and  $D_1^* \subseteq D_2 \subseteq rr^*$ . Furthermore, since  $W \cap Z = \emptyset$  we have that  $A_1 \cap A_3 = \emptyset$ ,  $A_2 \cap A_4 = \emptyset$ ,  $B_1 \cap B_3 = \emptyset$ ,  $B_2 \cap B_4 = \emptyset$  and  $D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$ . Moreover,  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$  and  $|D_1| \leq 1$ .

On the other hand, some of the sets  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $B_1$  or  $B_2$  is empty. This is necessary, since otherwise this would imply that  $\emptyset \in b(q_{pq}^F)$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, assume without loss of generality that  $B_2 = \emptyset$ . For the rest of the proof assume that  $f_1, f_2 \in E(q_{pq}^F)$ .

**[II.3.1]** Assume that  $A_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then:

**[II.3.1.1]** If  $B_1 \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $B_4^* = B^*$ , hence:

If  $D_1 = \emptyset$ ; by the form of  $E(Q_{pq}^F)$ , there exists  $f_1 \subseteq (A_4^c \setminus A_2)^* c$  and  $f_2 \subseteq (A_3^c \setminus A_1) c^*$  then  $E(q_{pq}^F)$  packs.

If  $D_1 \neq \emptyset$ ; assume  $D_1 = c$ . If  $A_1 \cup A_2 = A$  then  $\emptyset \in E(q_{pq}^F)$ . Thus, suppose that  $A_1 \cup A_2 \neq A$ . By the form of the elements of  $E(Q_{pq}^F)$ , there exists  $f_1 \subseteq (A_4^c \setminus A_2)^*$  and  $f_2 \subseteq (A_3^c \setminus A_1)$ , therefore  $E(q_{pq}^F)$  packs.

**[II.3.1.2]** If  $B_1 = \emptyset$ . Consider the case where  $D_1 = \emptyset$ , then there are  $f_1, f_2$  such that  $f_1 \subseteq (A_3^c \setminus A_1) Bc$  and  $f_2 \subseteq (A_4^c \setminus A_2)^* B^* c^*$ . Then  $q_{pq}^F$  packs.

On the other hand, if  $D_1 \neq \emptyset$ , assume  $D_1 = c$ . This implies that  $c^* \in Z$ . For this case there are  $f_1, f_2$  such that  $f_1 \subseteq (A_3^c \setminus A_1) B$  and  $f_2 \subseteq (A_4^c \setminus A_2)^* B^*$ .

**[II.3.2]** Assume that  $A_1 = \emptyset$  or  $A_2 = \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality let  $A_2 = \emptyset$ , and let  $A_1, B_1 \neq \emptyset$ .

**[II.3.2.1]** So if  $A_1 \neq A$  or  $B_1 \neq B$  then:

If  $D = \emptyset$ , then there exists  $f_1 \subseteq A_1^c (B_4^c)^* c$  and  $f_2 \subseteq (A_4^c)^* B_1^c c^*$ , then  $E_{pq}^F$  packs.

If  $D \neq \emptyset$ , let  $D = c$ , implying  $c^* \in Z$ . Then there are  $f_1, f_2 \in E(q_{pq}^F)$  such that  $f_1 \subseteq A_1^c (B_4^c)^*$  and  $f_2 \subseteq (A_4^c)^* B_1^c$ .

**[II.3.2.2]** So if  $A_1 = A$  and  $B_1 = B$ , then  $D = \emptyset$  and  $f_1 = c$  and  $f_2 = c^*$ .

**[II.3.3]** Assume that  $B_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $A_2 = \emptyset$  and  $A_1 \neq \emptyset$ , then:

**[II.3.3.1]** If  $D_1 = \emptyset$ , then  $f_1 \subseteq A_1^c B^* c$  and  $f_2 \subseteq (A_1^c)^* Bc^*$ .

**[II.3.3.2]** If  $D_1 \neq \emptyset$ , then assume that  $D_1 = c$  and  $c^* \in Z$ . If  $A_1 \neq A$ , there are  $f_1, f_2$  such that  $f_1 \subseteq (B')^c (B')^*$  and  $f_2 \subseteq (B') ((B')^c)^*$  for some  $\emptyset \neq B' \subset B$ , therefore  $q_{pq}^F$  packs.

[II.3.4] Assume that  $B_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $A_2 = \emptyset$  and  $A_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Now let  $W \supseteq rr^*$  then notice that for this case there are  $f_1, f_2 \in E(Q_{pq} \setminus W)$  two independent edges, therefore,  $f_1 \setminus Z$  and  $f_2 \setminus Z$  should contain independent edges in  $q_{pq}^F$ , therefore  $q_{pq}^F$  packs.

Concluding with this the proof that  $Q_{pq}^F$  has the packing property.  $\square$

As mentioned before, by construction all the clutters in the class  $Q_{pq}^F$  are 2-partitionable. In particular for the clutters given in Theorem 4.2 we have:

**Proposition 4.3** *Consider  $Q_{pq}^F$  for  $F$  as in cases I and II of Theorem 4.2. Then,  $\Delta_r(B(Q_{pq}^F)) = 1$ .*

**Proof.** We have that  $Q_{pq}^F$  is 2-partitionable and has the König property. By the observation before Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.2, we have that  $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B(Q_{pq}^F)) \leq d + 1$ . In this case  $d = p + q + 1$ , so  $\text{rank}(B(Q_{pq}^F)) \leq p + q + 2$ .

On the other hand,

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} P^* & Q^* & r^* & r \\ \mathbf{0} & I & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 1 \\ I & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a submatrix of  $B(Q_{pq}^F)$ , and  $L$  is reducible by elementary matrix transformations to  $I_{p+q+2}$  (the identity matrix of order  $p + q + 2$ ). Hence  $\det(L) = 1$  and it follows that  $\Delta_r(B(Q_{pq}^F)) = 1$ .  $\square$

Note that the previous result gives support to Conjecture 2.14.

## 5 A new infinite family of mengerian clutters

In this section we will prove that if  $F = F_{pq}^*$  then  $Q_{pq}^F$  is a mengerian hypergraph.

Among the general classes of clutters known to verify the Conjecture of Conforti and Cornuéjols are: *binary*, *balanced* and *dyadic* clutters. We now prove that the family  $Q_{pq}^F$  of Theorem 4.2 does not belong to any of these classes.

Let us denote by  $\triangle$  the symmetric difference operator. A hypergraph  $H = (V, E)$  is:

- a) *Binary* if for every  $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in E$  there is an  $e \in E$  such that  $e \subseteq e_1 \triangle e_2 \triangle e_3$ .
- b) *Dyadic* if for every pair  $(e, t)$  with  $e \in E, t \in b(H)$  the inequality  $|t \cap e| \leq 2$  holds.
- c) *Balanced* if no square submatrix of odd order contains exactly two 1's per row and per column.

**Lemma 5.1** *The hypergraphs  $Q_{pq}^F$  of Theorem 4.2 are not dyadic, nor binary, nor balanced.*

**Proof.** For the proof let  $e, f \in E_{pq}$  such that  $e^c \in F, f^c \notin F$  (the existence of both elements is a consequence of the construction given in Theorem 4.2). Now, to prove that  $Q_{pq}^F$  is not dyadic, notice that there is an edge  $e \in E_{pq}$  and a minimal transversal  $t$  of type (5.b) such that  $|t \cap f| \geq 3$ . Moreover, notice that  $e \triangle e^c \triangle f = f^c \notin E(Q_{pq}^F)$  so  $Q_{pq}^F$  is not binary. Now, to prove that  $Q_{pq}^F$  is not balanced, notice that the edges  $PQr, PQ^*r^*$  and  $P^*Qr^*$  intersected with  $p \in P, q \in Q$  and  $r^*$  constitute a counterexample.  $\square$

Observe that if we consider for  $Q_{pq}^F$  that  $F = E_{pq}^c$  (which was not taken into account for the second case of Theorem 4.2), then  $Q_{pq}^F$  is a binary hypergraph.

**Theorem 5.2** *Let  $H$  be an ideal hypergraph. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1.  $H$  is mengerian
2. If  $\tau^w(H) > 0$ , then there exists  $e \in E(H)$  such that  $\tau^w(H) = \tau^{w-e}(H) + 1$ .

**Proof.** (2  $\Rightarrow$  1) As  $H$  is ideal,  $\tau^w(H) = wx$ , where  $x \in b(H)$ . We only need to prove that  $\tau^w(H) = \nu^w(H) = y\mathbf{1}$ , where  $Ay \leq w, y \geq 0$  and  $y$  integer. We will prove this by induction over  $\tau^w(H)$ . If  $\tau^w(H) = 0$ , then  $y = 0$  satisfies the proposition. Now suppose this true for  $\tau^w(H) < n$ . If  $\tau^w(H) = n$  by 2) we know that  $\tau^w(H) = \tau^{w-e}(H) + 1$ , where  $e \in E(H)$ . Suppose that  $e = v_k$ , then by induction hypothesis there exists  $y' = (y'_1, \dots, y'_k)$  such that  $\tau^{w-e}(H) = y'\mathbf{1}$  and  $Ay' \leq w - e, y' \geq 0, y'_1v_1 + \dots + y'_kv_k \leq w - v_k$ . Let  $y = (y'_1, \dots, y'_k + 1)$  then  $Ay \leq w, y \geq 0$  and integer and  $y\mathbf{1} = y'\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} = \tau^{w-e}(H) + 1 = \tau^w(H)$ . But this implies  $H$  is mengerian.

(1  $\Rightarrow$  2) As  $H$  is mengerian,  $\tau^w(H) = wx = \nu^w(H) = y\mathbf{1}$  where  $x \geq 0, xA \geq \mathbf{1}, y \geq 0, Ay \leq w$  and  $x, y$  integer vectors. Suppose that  $\tau^w(H) > 0$ . If  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ , we have that  $y_1v_1 + \dots + y_kv_k \leq w$  and as  $0 < \tau^w(H) = \nu^w(H) = y_1 + \dots + y_k$ , then  $y_i > 0$  for some  $i$ . Suppose  $y_k$  is precisely that element. Then define  $w' = w - v_k > 0$ , and  $y' = (y_1, \dots, y_k - 1)$ . Then  $y' \geq 0, Ay' \leq w - v_k = w'$ , and  $\nu^{w'}(H) \geq y'\mathbf{1} = y\mathbf{1} - 1$ . Thus  $\nu^{w'}(H) \geq \nu^w(H) - 1$  and  $\tau^{w'}(H) \geq \tau^w(H) - 1$ . Therefore  $\tau^w(H) = wx = (w' + v_k)x = w'x + v_kx \geq \tau^{w'}(H) + v_kx \geq \tau^w(H) + v_kx - 1$ . This implies,  $1 \geq v_kx$ , but  $xA \geq \mathbf{1}$  consequently  $v_kx = 1$  and  $\tau^w(H) = \tau^{w'}(H) + 1$ .  $\square$

Now, let  $p_{\min} := \min_{p \in P} \{w(p)\}$  and define  $p_{\min}^*, q_{\min}$  and  $q_{\min}^*$  in an analogous way. We construct the sets  $P_{>} = \{p \in P : w(p) > p_{\min}\}$  and  $P_{>}^* = \{p^* \in P^* : w(p^*) > p_{\min}^*\}$ . In an analogous manner we construct the sets  $Q_{>}$  and  $Q_{>}^*$ . Now take  $\mathbb{P} \subseteq P_{>} \cup P_{>}^* (\mathbb{Q} \subseteq Q_{>} \cup Q_{>}^*)$  with maximum cardinality such that either  $p_i$  or  $p_i^* (q_i$  or  $q_i^*)$  but not both is an element of  $\mathbb{P} (\mathbb{Q})$ . These subsets fulfill the following conditions.

**Lemma 5.3** *If  $p_{\min} = p_{\min}^* = 0$  ( $q_{\min} = q_{\min}^* = 0$ ), and  $\tau^w > 0$  then  $p = |\mathbb{P}|$  ( $q = |\mathbb{Q}|$ ) and  $q_{\min} + q_{\min}^*, w(r) \geq \tau^w$  ( $p_{\min} + p_{\min}^*, w(r^*) \geq \tau^w$ ).*

**Proof.** If  $p_{\min} = p_{\min}^* = 0$  then  $p = |\mathbb{P}|$  (if not, there would be  $p_i, p_i^*$  such that  $w(p_i p_i^*) = 0$ , which is a contradiction). Now, that  $q_{\min} + q_{\min}^*$  and  $w(r)$  are greater or equal to  $\tau^w$  is a consequence of (5.b) and (5.c).  $\square$

In the sequel we denote by  $\bar{t}$  a minimum weight  $t \in b(Q_{pq}^F)$ .

**Corollary 5.4** *For the case stated in the former Lemma, we can pick  $e$  from:*

$$\begin{aligned} e = \mathbb{P}Qr & \quad \text{if } |\mathbb{P}| = p \text{ and } q_{\min} > 0 \\ e = \mathbb{P}Q^*r & \quad \text{if } |\mathbb{P}| = p \text{ and } q_{\min}^* > 0 \\ e = P\mathbb{Q}r^* & \quad \text{if } |\mathbb{Q}| = q \text{ and } p_{\min} > 0 \\ e = P^*\mathbb{Q}r^* & \quad \text{if } |\mathbb{Q}| = q \text{ and } p_{\min}^* > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

such that  $\tau^w = \tau^{w'} + 1$  and  $w' \geq 0$ .

**Proof.** That  $w' \geq 0$  follows directly from the selection of  $e$ . Thus, to prove that  $\tau^w = \tau^{w'} + 1$  let  $p_{\min} = p_{\min}^* = 0$ . Therefore  $e$  will be either  $\mathbb{P}Qr$  or  $\mathbb{P}Q^*r$ . Moreover, notice that every  $\bar{t}$  of type (5.a-d) intersects  $e$  in a single vertex. Consequently  $\tau^w = \tau^{w'} + 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5** *If  $\tau^w > 0$  and  $\bar{t}$  is of type (5.b) or both (5.c,d), then:*

$$p = |\mathbb{P}| \text{ or } q = |\mathbb{Q}| \tag{9}$$

**Proof.** If (9) is false then there must be  $p_i, q_k$  such that  $w(p_i) = p_{\min}, w(p_i^*) = p_{\min}^*, w(q_k) = q_{\min}, w(q_k^*) = q_{\min}^*$ . Now, assume  $\bar{t}$  is of type (5.b), then since  $w(vv^*) \geq \tau^w$  we have that:

$$\tau^w = w(p_i p_j^* q_k q_l^*) = p_{\min} + p_{\min}^* + q_{\min} + q_{\min}^* = w(p_i p_i^*) + w(q_k q_k^*) \geq 2\tau^w$$

which is a contradiction.

Now, consider that  $\bar{t}$  is of both types (5.c,d), and notice that either  $w(r)$  or  $w(r^*)$  are greater than zero (as a consequence of (5.a)). Assume that  $w(r) > 0$ , then it follows that:

$$\tau^w = w(p_i p_j^* r) = p_{\min} + p_{\min}^* + w(r) = w(p_i p_i^*) + w(r) \geq \tau^w + 1$$

once again, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6** *If  $\tau^w > 0$ ,  $\max\{p_{\min}, p_{\min}^*\} > 0$ ,  $\max\{q_{\min}, q_{\min}^*\} > 0$  and  $\bar{t}$  is of type (5.b) or both types (5.c,d), then there is an edge  $e$  of  $Q_{pq}^F$  such that  $w' \geq 0$  and  $\tau^w = \tau^{w'} + 1$ .*

**Proof.** From Lemma 5.5 we know that either  $p = |\mathbb{P}|$  or  $q = |\mathbb{Q}|$ . Therefore, consider that  $\tau^w = w(p_i p_j^* q_k q_l^*)$ , then we have that  $w(p_i p_j^* q_k q_l^*) \leq w(p_i p_j^* r)$  and  $w(p_i p_j^* q_k q_l^*) \leq w(q_k q_l^* r^*)$  but this implies that:

$$w(r) \geq w(q_k q_l^*) > 0, w(r^*) \geq w(p_i p_j^*) > 0 \quad (10)$$

On the other hand, if  $\tau^w = w(p_i p_j^* r) = w(q_k q_l^* r^*) = \tau^w$  we have that  $w(r r^*) \geq w(p_i p_j^* r), w(q_k q_l^* r^*)$  but this also implies (10). Therefore, we must pick  $e$  from (8). The selection of  $e$  guarantees that  $w' \geq 0$ , while the occurrence of  $\mathbb{P}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $e$  guarantees that  $\tau^w = \tau^{w'} + 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.7** *If  $\tau^w > 0$ ,  $\max\{p_{\min}, p_{\min}^*\} > 0$ ,  $\max\{q_{\min}, q_{\min}^*\} > 0$  and  $\bar{t}$  is not of type (5.b) or both (5.c) or (5.d) then there is an  $e \in F_{pq} \cup F_{pq}^*$  such that  $\tau^w = \tau^{w'} + 1$  and  $w' \geq 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let us assume that  $\bar{t} = a_i a_j^* c$  is of type (5.c or d). Then  $w(cc^*) \geq w(a_i a_j^* c)$ , but this implies that  $w(c^*) \geq w(a_i a_j^*) > 0$ . Therefore  $e$  could be picked as:

$$\begin{aligned} PQc^* & \text{ if } p_{\min}, q_{\min} > 0 \\ PQ^*c^* & \text{ if } p_{\min}, q_{\min}^* > 0 \\ P^*Qc^* & \text{ if } p_{\min}^*, q_{\min} > 0 \\ P^*Q^*c^* & \text{ if } p_{\min}^*, q_{\min}^* > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Moreover, the selection of  $e$  guarantees that  $w' \geq 0$  and since  $w(a_i a_j^* c) = w'(a_i a_j^* c) + 1$  and  $w(a_i a_j^* c) < w(b_k b_l^* c^*)$  it is also true that  $w'(a_i a_j^* c) \leq w'(b_k b_l^* c^*) + 2$ . On the other hand, if  $\tau^w = w(vv)$  for some  $v \in PQR$  then we only need to pick  $e$  in such a way that  $w' \geq 0$  since  $w(vv^*) = w'(vv^*) + 1$ , and considering that  $c^*$  is either  $r$  or  $r^*$  we can again pick  $e$  from (11).  $\square$

As an example, consider  $\bar{t} = q_i q_j^* r^*$ , and  $p_{\min}, q_{\min}^* > 0$  then from (11) we know that  $e = PQ^*r$ .

By the above results we obtain:

**Theorem 5.8** *The hypergraph  $Q_{pq}^F$  with  $F = F_{pq}^*$  is mengerian.*

**Proof.** By Theorem 4.2  $Q_{pq}^F$  has the packing property. Thus  $Q_{pq}^F$  is an ideal hypergraph (Theorem 2.9). If  $\tau^\omega(Q_{pq}^F) > 0$  then by the previous Corollaries 5.4, 5.6 and 5.7 there exists  $e \in E(Q_{pq}^F)$  such that  $\tau^\omega(Q_{pq}^F) = \tau^{\omega-e}(Q_{pq}^F) + 1$ . Therefore by Theorem 5.2,  $Q_{pq}^F$  is mengerian.  $\square$

Let us denote by  $I(Q_{pq}^F) = \tilde{I}$  the ideal generated by  $F(Q_{pq}^F) = \{x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_k}\}$ , where  $v_i$  is the  $i^{th}$  column of the matrix  $A(Q_{pq}^F)$ , then:

**Corollary 5.9**  *$R[\tilde{I}t]$  is normal and the set covering polyhedron  $Q(A(Q_{pq}^F))$  is integral.*



**Proof.** It follows by applying Theorem 2.5. □

Finally, we give an algorithm that constructs a list  $m$  with  $\tau^w$  edges from  $Q_{pq}^F$  such that  $\sum_{e \in m} e \leq w$ .

1. Set  $i = 0$ ,  $m_0 = \emptyset$  and  $w_0 = w$
2. **while**  $\tau^{w_i} \neq 0$ 
  - (a) Obtain the values  $p_{\min}, p_{\min}^*, q_{\min}, q_{\min}^*$  for  $w_i$
  - (b) **if**  $\max \{p_{\min}, p_{\min}^*\} > 0$ ,  $\max \{q_{\min}, q_{\min}^*\} > 0$ , **then**:
    - i. **if**  $w_i (p_i p_j^* r) = w_i (q_k q_l^* r^*) = \tau^{w_i}$  or  $w_i (p_i p_j^* q_k q_l^*) = \tau^{w_i}$  **then** pick  $e$  from (8)
    - ii. **else** pick  $e$  from (11)
  - (c) **else** pick  $e$  from (8)
  - (d) Set  $m_{i+1} = (e, m_i)$  and  $w_{i+1} = w_i - e$
  - (e)  $i = i + 1$
3. **return**  $m_i$

Note that the former algorithm repeats the while statement in line 2,  $\tau^w$  times. This is so since for every iteration,  $e$  is picked in such a way that  $\tau^{w_i} = \tau^{w_{i-1}} + 1$ . Moreover, if  $m$  represents the returned value, we have that:

$$\sum_{e \in m} e_v \leq w_v \text{ for every } v \in V_{pq}$$

since otherwise, the vector  $w_{\tau^w}$  obtained at the end of the while cycle in line 2 would contain at least one negative entry. Therefore verifying if  $Q_{pq}^F$  is mengerian. This algorithm can be generalized to other hypergraphs.

## References

- [1] M. Conforti, G. Cornuéjols, *Clutters that Pack and the Max-Flow Min-Cut Property: A Conjecture*, The fourth Bellairs Workshop on Combinatorial Optimization, W. R. Pulleyblank, F. B. Shepherd, eds. (1993).
- [2] G. Cornuéjols, *Combinatorial optimization: Packing and covering*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics **74**, SIAM (2001).

- [3] G. Cornuéjols, F. Margot and B. Guenin, *The packing property*, Mathematical Programming, Ser. A, 89 (2000), 113-126.
- [4] C. Escobar, R. Villarreal and Y. Yoshino, *Torsion freeness and normality of associated graded rings and Rees algebras of monomial ideals*, Commutative Algebra, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 244, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, (2006), pp. 69-84.
- [5] D. R. Fulkerson, A. J. Hoffman and R. Oppenheim, *On balanced matrices*, Mathematical Programming Study 1 (1974), 120-132.
- [6] I. Gitler, E. Reyes and R.H. Villarreal, *Blowup algebras of square-free monomial ideals and some links to combinatorial optimization problems*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, to appear, 2008.
- [7] I. Gitler, C. Valencia and R. Villarreal, *A note on Rees algebras and the MFMC property*, Beiträge Algebra Geom. 48, No. 1 (2007), 141-150.
- [8] C. Huneke, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, *Reduced normal cones are domains*, Contemp. Math. **88** (1989), 95-101.
- [9] A. Lehman, *On the width-length inequality and degenerate projective planes*, (W. Cook and P.D. Seymour eds.), Polyhedral Combinatorics, DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science 1, (1990), 101-105.
- [10] A. Schrijver, *Combinatorial Optimization: Polyhedra and efficiency*, Algorithms and Combinatorics 24 (2003), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [11] P. D. Seymour, *The matroids with the max-flow min-cut property*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 23 (1977), 189-222.